questions to be submitted to the presiding officer for response by a person

making a presentation.

(f) Judicial review. The Commissioner of Food and Drugs' decision constitutes final agency action from which the applicant may petition for judicial review. Before requesting an order from a court for a stay of action pending review, an applicant must first submit a petition for a stay of action under §10.35 of this chapter.

### § 314.630 Postmarketing safety reporting.

Drug products approved under this subpart are subject to the post-marketing recordkeeping and safety reporting requirements applicable to all approved drug products, as provided in §§ 314.80 and 314.81.

### §314.640 Promotional materials.

For drug products being considered for approval under this subpart, unless otherwise informed by the agency, applicants must submit to the agency for consideration during the preapproval review period copies of all promotional materials, including promotional labeling as well as advertisements, intended for dissemination or publication within 120 days following marketing approval. After 120 days following marketing approval, unless otherwise informed by the agency, the applicant must submit promotional materials at least 30 days prior to the intended time of initial dissemination of the labeling or initial publication of the advertisement.

#### §314.650 Termination of requirements.

If FDA determines after approval under this subpart that the requirements established in §§ 314.610(b)(2), 314.620, and 314.630 are no longer necessary for the safe and effective use of a drug product, FDA will so notify the applicant. Ordinarily, for drug products approved under §314.610, these requirements will no longer apply when FDA determines that the postmarketing study verifies and describes the drug product's clinical benefit. For drug products approved under §314.610, the restrictions would no longer apply when FDA determines that safe use of the drug product can be ensured through appropriate labeling. FDA also

retains the discretion to remove specific postapproval requirements upon review of a petition submitted by the sponsor in accordance with §10.30 of this chapter.

# PART 315—DIAGNOSTIC RADIOPHARMACEUTICALS

Sec.

315.1 Scope.

315.2 Definition.

315.3 General factors relevant to safety and effectiveness.

315.4 Indications.

315.5 Evaluation of effectiveness.

315.6 Evaluation of safety.

AUTHORITY: 21 U.S.C. 321, 331, 351, 352, 353, 355, 371, 374, 379e; sec. 122, Pub. L. 105–115, 111 Stat. 2322 (21 U.S.C. 355 note).

SOURCE: 64 FR 26667, May 17, 1999, unless otherwise noted.

### §315.1 Scope.

The regulations in this part apply to radiopharmaceuticals intended for in vivo administration for diagnostic and monitoring use. They do not apply to radiopharmaceuticals intended for therapeutic purposes. In situations where a particular radiopharmaceutical is proposed for both diagnostic and therapeutic uses, the radiopharmaceutical must be evaluated taking into account each intended use.

### § 315.2 Definition.

For purposes of this part, *diagnostic* radiopharmaceutical means:

(a) An article that is intended for use in the diagnosis or monitoring of a disease or a manifestation of a disease in humans and that exhibits spontaneous disintegration of unstable nuclei with the emission of nuclear particles or photons; or

(b) Any nonradioactive reagent kit or nuclide generator that is intended to be used in the preparation of such article as defined in paragraph (a) of this section.

## § 315.3 General factors relevant to safety and effectiveness.

FDA's determination of the safety and effectiveness of a diagnostic radiopharmaceutical includes consideration of the following:

### §315.4

- (a) The proposed use of the diagnostic radiopharmaceutical in the practice of medicine,
- (b) The pharmacological and toxicological activity of the diagnostic radiopharmaceutical (including any carrier or ligand component of the diagnostic radiopharmaceutical), and
- (c) The estimated absorbed radiation dose of the diagnostic radiopharmaceutical.

#### §315.4 Indications.

- (a) For diagnostic radiopharmaceuticals, the categories of proposed indications for use include, but are not limited to, the following:
  - (1) Structure delineation;
- (2) Functional, physiological, or biochemical assessment;
- (3) Disease or pathology detection or assessment; and
- (4) Diagnostic or therapeutic patient management.
- (b) Where a diagnostic radiopharmaceutical is not intended to provide disease-specific information, the proposed indications for use may refer to a biochemical, physiological, anatomical, or pathological process or to more than one disease or condition.

### §315.5 Evaluation of effectiveness.

- (a) The effectiveness of a diagnostic radiopharmaceutical is assessed by evaluating its ability to provide useful clinical information related to its proposed indications for use. The method of this evaluation varies depending upon the proposed indication(s) and may use one or more of the following criteria:
- (1) The claim of structure delineation is established by demonstrating in a defined clinical setting the ability to locate anatomical structures and to characterize their anatomy.
- (2) The claim of functional, physiological, or biochemical assessment is established by demonstrating in a defined clinical setting reliable measurement of function(s) or physiological, biochemical, or molecular process(es).
- (3) The claim of disease or pathology detection or assessment is established by demonstrating in a defined clinical setting that the diagnostic radio-pharmaceutical has sufficient accuracy

in identifying or characterizing the disease or pathology.

- (4) The claim of diagnostic or therapeutic patient management is established by demonstrating in a defined clinical setting that the test is useful in diagnostic or therapeutic patient management.
- (5) For a claim that does not fall within the indication categories identified in §315.4, the applicant or sponsor should consult FDA on how to establish the effectiveness of the diagnostic radiopharmaceutical for the claim.
- (b) The accuracy and usefulness of the diagnostic information is determined by comparison with a reliable assessment of actual clinical status. A reliable assessment of actual clinical status may be provided by a diagnostic standard or standards of demonstrated accuracy. In the absence of such diagnostic standard(s), the actual clinical status must be established in another manner, e.g., patient followup.

### §315.6 Evaluation of safety.

- (a) Factors considered in the safety assessment of a diagnostic radio-pharmaceutical include, among others, the following:
  - (1) The radiation dose;
- (2) The pharmacology and toxicology of the radiopharmaceutical, including any radionuclide, carrier, or ligand;
- (3) The risks of an incorrect diagnostic determination;
- (4) The adverse reaction profile of the drug;
- (5) Results of human experience with the radiopharmaceutical for other uses; and
- (6) Results of any previous human experience with the carrier or ligand of the radiopharmaceutical when the same chemical entity as the carrier or ligand has been used in a previously studied product.
- (b) The assessment of the adverse reaction profile includes, but is not limited to, an evaluation of the potential of the diagnostic radiopharmaceutical, including the carrier or ligand, to elicit the following:
- (1) Allergic or hypersensitivity responses
  - (2) Immunologic responses,